

Burton Community Day School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year

Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Burton Community Day School
Street	264 North Westwood Street
City, State, Zip	Porterville, CA 93257
Phone Number	(559) 781-8020
Principal	Sergio Mendoza
E-mail Address	smendoza@burtonschools.org
CDS Code	54-71837-0110452

District Contact Information	
District Name	Burton Elementary School District
Phone Number	(559) 781-8020
Web Site	www.burtonschools.org
Superintendent	Gary Mekeel
E-mail Address	gmekeel@burtonschools.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

We believe that every student has the right to a safe and quiet learning environment. Therefore, we have an obligation to create an environment where students have the best opportunity to learn. We also believe that open and honest communication and cooperation between school and home is important in assuring a student's success in Burton School District's Community Day School program.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Community and parental support, combined with the availability of sufficient funding, are critical factors that influence the success or failure of any school. Without this support, schools cannot be expected to produce the results desired for students. Parent meetings are held throughout the year and are available on a need basis with an appointment. Please contact the teacher or the Principal at the school's office on how you can offer your time at the Community Day School. In addition, the Community Day School staff regularly contact parents regarding student programs.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	1
Total Enrollment	3

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0	White	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	Two or More Races	0
Asian	0	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100
Filipino	0	English Learners	0
Hispanic or Latino	100	Students with Disabilities	33.3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2009-10			2010-11			2011-12					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K												
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
Other												

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2009-10			2010-11			2011-12					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The Community Day School site has a Safe School Plan that is reviewed and updated annually with completion by March. Plans are reviewed with staff annually. Emergency drills are conducted to prepare students and staff in the event of an emergency. We strive to maintain a safe, healthful, nurturing and orderly school campus that encourages positive attitudes in students and staff. A joint effort between students and staff help keep the campus clean and litter free. Site maintenance staff surveys the campus as the first order of business each day. They insure the campus is clean, any vandalism is corrected, and that the campus is presentable and representative of the priority Burton School Board sets on safety and appearance.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School			District		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Suspensions	14.29	20.0	12.0	18.23	11.97	10.0
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.02

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2012

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the Director of Maintenance, Operations and Transportation, along with the site administrator, annually inspects our school site. Safety hazards, maintenance needs, repairs, etc. are noted and scheduled for repair. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep our school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner.

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior, and exterior painting, and flooring systems.

Repairs Needed

1. Concrete repair in several locations throughout the campus due to tree root damage.
2. Concrete mow strip around Curriculum Center due to tree root damage.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Tracy Tucker/Director of MOT/Risk Manager 12-17-12

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	1	1	1	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	N/A	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	N/A	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	N/A	---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	N/A	---
Psychologist	N/A	---
Social Worker	N/A	---
Nurse	N/A	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	N/A	---
Resource Specialist	N/A	---
Other	N/A	---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected:

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin (2003), Holt	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin (2008), Holt, Scott Foresman (2008)	Yes	0%
Science	Scott Foresman/Pearson (), Holt	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	McGraw-Hill (), Holt, Scott Foresman	Yes	0%

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$16,295	\$3,679	\$12,616	\$50,644
District	---	---	\$5,425	\$59,608
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	43	15
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$65,598
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	43	7

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

At Burton Community Day School, expenses for all educational services include teachers, instructional aides, books, supplies, equipment, nursing and counseling services, and administration. While the majority of Burton Community Day School budget is made up of "general" funds, we also receive "categorical" funds, to be used for supplementing the general education program. Such programs include School Improvement Program (SIP), English Language Learners (ELL), State Compensatory Education (SCE), Title 1, Title VI, Migrant Education, and Gifted and Talented Education (GATE).

A number of additional services are provided for students in the Burton School District. Bus transportation is provided for students who live outside the safe route to school walking distance. Our district Special Education program provides supplemental instructional services for both identified and informal students. Our school breakfast and lunch program is provided district wide.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,174	\$40,962
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$58,745	\$63,212
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,155	\$80,545
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$98,395	\$102,057
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$114,735	\$106,108
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$122,465	\$110,838
Superintendent Salary	\$171,592	\$152,557
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	40%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	8%	6%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	29	8		49	50	50	52	54	56
Mathematics	21			52	51	46	48	50	51
Science				52	54	56	54	57	60
History-Social Science				31	41	39	44	48	49

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	50	46	56	39
All Student at the School				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide			
Similar Schools			

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
All Students at the School			
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School			2,945	765	4,664,264	788
Black or African American			32	768	313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native			37	778	31,606	742
Asian			76	842	404,670	905
Filipino			103	858	124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino			1,769	734	2,425,230	740
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			1		26,563	775
White			914	805	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races			12	784	88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			2,068	738	2,779,680	737
English Learners			759	708	1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities			225	591	530,935	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2005-2006
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	5
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	62.5

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Burton Community Day School places an emphasis on a balanced and rigorous core curriculum at all grade levels. Instructional programs are aligned with District Standards. The District structures its educational program so that all students receive instruction appropriate to their learning level. To ensure the success of every student, the teacher and instructional aide use a variety of instructional techniques and supplemental instructional materials and programs.

All of our professional development is based on our students and teacher's needs. Teachers in Burton have completed professional training courses designed to support educational priorities and objectives. The teacher at Burton Community Day School will join other sites for Staff Development when necessary.